Quantifying the impact of ocean acidification on our future climate
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Abstract. Ocean acidification (OA) is the consequence of rising atmospheric CO$_2$ levels, and it is occurring in conjunction with global warming. Observational studies show that OA will impact ocean biogeochemical cycles. Here, we use an Earth system model under the RCP8.5 emission scenario to evaluate and quantify the first-order impacts of OA on marine biogeochemical cycles, and its potential feedback on our future climate. We find that OA impacts have only a small impact on the future atmospheric CO$_2$ (less than 45 ppm) and global warming (less than a 0.25 K) by 2100. While the climate change feedbacks are small, OA impacts may significantly alter the distribution of biological production and remineralisation, which would alter the dissolved oxygen distribution in the ocean interior. Our results demonstrate that the consequences of OA will not be through its impact on climate change, but on how it impacts the flow of energy in marine ecosystems, which may significantly impact their productivity, composition and diversity.

1 Introduction

The oceans have taken up approximately a third of the total fossil fuel CO$_2$ emitted to the atmosphere since the onset of industrialisation (140 ± 25 PgC, (Khatiwala et al., 2009)). This uptake has slowed the rate of global warming, but has led to detectable changes in the ocean chemistry (e.g. Doney et al., 2009). As the CO$_2$ enters the ocean, primarily through sea–air fluxes, it reacts with the seawater and reduces the carbonate ion concentration and pH, collectively known as ocean acidification (OA). This uptake of anthropogenic CO$_2$ has reduced the pH of the modern ocean surface waters by 0.1 units or hydrogen ion concentration ([H$^+$]) by 30 % since preindustrial times (Caldeira, 2005). By the end of this century, under the higher emission scenarios, the projected [H$^+$] change since preindustrial times maybe greater than 100 % (Orr et al., 2005).

OA has the potential to affect the major biogeochemical (BGC) cycles in the ocean (Gehlen et al., 2011), with potentially significant consequences for our future climate (Matear et al., 2010). In assessing the potential OA impacts on BGC cycles and climate, it is important to recognise that ocean acidification impacts do not occur in isolation, but they are associated with global warming, which may modulate their impacts (e.g. Brewer and Peltzer, 2009). Therefore, assessing the future impacts of OA requires an Earth System Model (ESM) that considers the interactive effects of global warming and OA on BGC cycling (Tagliabue et al., 2011). The goal of this study is to use an ESM to evaluate and quantify the first-order impacts and climate feedbacks of OA. To do this, we first review the potential for ocean acidification to alter the major BGC cycles. We then use our ESM to assess how these modulations of the BGC by OA alter the projected climate in the current century. From our simulations, we then discuss how the OA-modulated BGC changes affect the ocean environment. Finally, we conclude with a discussion of OA impacts on BGC cycling and climate that require further investigation.

2 Potential impacts of ocean acidification on BGC cycles

Ocean acidification has the potential to modify marine BGC cycles in a number of ways, which could alter the future climate. We define the term BGC climate feedback to denote an oceanic BGC process that may either enhance (positive
feedback) or reduce (negative feedback) global warming due to rising or respectively decreasing greenhouse gases. For this study, we primarily focus on marine BGC processes that are impacted by OA and global warming, which can alter oceanic uptake of carbon.

To aid the discussion of the potential impacts of OA on BGC cycles, we separate the impacts into processes that (1) alter biological production in the photic zone; and (2) alter the remineralisation of sinking particulate organic and inorganic carbon in the ocean interior. These processes are summarised in Table 1, with an indication of the sign the impact has on global warming based on published studies.

2.1 Biological production

The rising CO₂ levels in the upper ocean has the potential to affect biological production in several ways:

1. Increase net primary productivity by making photosynthesis more efficient (Rost et al., 2008). Experimental studies have also shown an increase in particulate organic carbon (POC) production in response to increased levels of CO₂ (e.g. Zondervan et al., 2002).

2. Alter the stoichiometric nutrient to carbon ratio of the exported particulate organic matter, which enables ocean biology to partially overcome nutrient control on carbon production and export. Mesocosm experiments with natural plankton communities have reported an increased C/N ratio of particulate organic matter under elevated CO₂ (Riebesell et al., 2007; Bellerby et al., 2008). In these experiments, the C/N ratio increased from 6.0 at 350 µatm to 8.0 at about 1050 µatm. An increase in the C/N ratio of the export POC with a fixed C/O ratio would result in increased carbon storage and increased oxygen consumption in the ocean (Oschlies et al., 2008).

3. Impact the ability of organisms to calcify (Fabry et al., 2008). This is anticipated to reduce the production of calcium carbonate. Due to the nature of the carbonate chemistry, reduced calcium carbonate production allows the upper ocean to increase its carbon uptake (Raven, 2005; Heinke, 2004; Hutchins, 2011).

All three of these affects would increase the storage of carbon in the ocean and provide a negative feedback to climate warming.

2.2 Remineralisation of particulate material

Most of the exported POC is remineralised in the upper 1000 m, but ≈ 10% escapes to the deep ocean, where it is remineralised or buried in sediments, and sequestered from the atmosphere on millennium timescales (Trull et al., 2001). Analysis of particulate inorganic carbon (PIC) and POC fluxes at water depths greater than 1000 m suggests a close association between these fluxes (Armstrong et al., 2002). The ratio of PIC production to POC is called the rain ratio (e.g. Archer et al., 2000). OA has the potential to impact the remineralisation of sinking particulate material in the following ways:

1. Dissolution of CaCO₃ is an abiotic process driven by thermodynamics, and the rate of dissolution is related to the saturation state of the ocean (Orr et al., 2005). Chemical dissolution can occur when the saturation state of CaCO₃ falls below 1 (or below the lysocline). As the lysocline moves toward the surface with ocean acidification, increased dissolution of CaCO₃ sediments and sinking particles will increase the alkalinity in the ocean (Ridgwell et al., 2009). This potentially increases the supply of alkalinity to the upper ocean (increasing CO₂ uptake), which acts as a negative climate feedback.

2. (Armstrong et al., 2002) proposed that CaCO₃ acts as a carrier for transporting POC to the deep ocean by ballasting the POC, thereby increasing its sinking speed. It is also hypothesised that the association between CaCO₃ and POC might protect the latter from bacterial degradation (Armstrong et al., 2002). If deep-water POC fluxes are controlled by CaCO₃, then a decrease in the CaCO₃ production would result in a decreased POC transport to the deep ocean. Consequently, POC would remineralise at shallower depths and therefore the net efficiency of the biological pump would decrease, resulting in a positive climate feedback.

3. The remineralisation length scale of POC is dependent on microbial activity. It has been hypothesised that ocean acidification may increase the rate of microbial activity (Weinbauer et al., 2011), leading to more rapid remineralisation of sinking POC, which would result in less carbon sequestration, and a positive climate feedback. A warming ocean may further accelerate microbial activity (Rivkin, 2001) and cause more rapid remineralisation of sinking POC.

In summary, changing the strength of the biological pump can have either a positive or negative feedback on climate warming.

3 Earth system model and simulations

3.1 Earth system model

For the simulations presented here an Earth system model was used (Mk3L-COAL). Mk3L-COAL includes a climate model, Mk3L (Phipps et al., 2011), coupled to a biogeochemical model of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus cycles on land (CASA-CNP) in the Australian community land surface model, Cable (Wang et al., 2010; Mao et al., 2011), and an ocean biogeochemical cycle model (Matear and Hirst,
Table 1. Potential ocean acidification impacts on BGC cycles. A positive value in the Impact on global warming column signifies the process causes greater warming (positive feedback).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biological production</th>
<th>Impact on global warming</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased net primary productivity</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased export of organic matter</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased C/P and C/N ratio of organic matter</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced calcification</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Remineralisation of sinking organic matter</th>
<th>Impact on global warming</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increasing microbial POC remineralisation</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced ballasting with calcium carbonate</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2003; Duteil et al., 2012). The details of the ocean biogeochemical model are summarised in the Appendix.

The atmosphere model has a horizontal resolution of 5.6 °C by 3.2 °C, and 18 vertical layers. The land carbon model has the same horizontal resolution as the atmosphere. The ocean model has a resolution of 2.8 °C by 1.6 °C, and 21 vertical levels. Mk3L simulates the historical climate well, as compared to the models used for earlier IPCC assessments (Phipps et al., 2011; Pitman et al., 2011). Furthermore, the simulated response of the land carbon cycle to increasing atmospheric CO2 and warming are consistent with those from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) (Zhang et al., 2014). The ocean biogeochemical model was shown to realistically simulate the global ocean carbon cycle (Duteil et al., 2012). Previous studies with this ocean biogeochemical model have shown to realistically simulate anthropogenic carbon and CFC uptake by the ocean (Matsumoto et al., 2004). We referred to the ocean BGC formulation used in these previous studies as our standard BGC formulation, which is then modified to incorporate OA impacts.

The land model (CABLE) with CASA-CNP simulates the temporal evolution of heat, water and momentum fluxes at the surface, as well as the biogeochemical cycles of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in plants and soils. For this study, we used the spatially explicit estimates of nitrogen deposition for 1990 (Dentener, 2006). The simulated (Zhang et al., 2014) geographic variations of nutrient limitations, major biogeochemical fluxes and pools on the land under the present climate conditions are consistent with published studies (Wang et al., 2010; Hedin, 2004).

3.2 Model simulations

The ESM was spun up under preindustrial atmospheric CO2 (1850: 284.7 ppm) until the simulated climate became stable. Stability was defined as the linear trend of global mean surface temperature over the last 400 years of the spin-up being less than 0.015 K per century.

For the historical period (1850–2005), the ESM was run using the historical atmospheric CO2 concentrations as prescribed by the CMIP5 simulation protocol. For the historical period a reference simulation (REF) was performed where atmospheric CO2 affects both the radiative properties of the atmosphere and the carbon cycle (Table 2) (Zhang et al., 2014).

For the future, we used the RCP8.5 emissions scenario as provided by the CMIP5 (http://cmip-pcmdi.llnl.gov/cmip5/), and let our ESM, which has full carbon–climate interactions, determine the future atmospheric CO2 concentrations (Zhang et al., 2014). The RCP8.5 scenario is the highest CO2 emissions scenario used in the IPCC’s Fifth Assessment Report, and in this scenario radiative forcing increases to 8.5 W m-2 by 2100. For comparison, we also completed a standard CMIP5 simulation where RCP8.5 atmospheric CO2 concentrations were used rather than letting our ESM determine the future CO2 concentrations from the emission scenario (we called this simulation RCP8.5). As discussed by (Zhang et al., 2014), using RCP8.5 emissions rather than concentrations resulted in a slightly warmer world (0.25 K) by 2100 because of reduced land carbon uptake due to nutrient limitation. In all our simulations the vegetation scenario used by (Lawrence et al., 2013) remained unchanged over the simulation period following the CMIP5 experimental design. Note that CO2 emissions from land use change were not included in our simulation, but are implicitly taken into account in the the RCP8.5 emission scenario. We also neglected changes in anthropogenic N deposition over the simulation period, because of the large uncertainty in the future deposition rate and the small impact it has on net land carbon uptake (Zaehle et al., 2010).

3.3 Ocean acidification impacts on BGC

To assess the potential impacts of OA on BGC cycles, we modified the ocean BGC formulation in the future period (2006–2100). These idealised modifications to the marine BGC cycle are summarised in Table 2 and described in more detail below. The modifications are designed to provide a
first-order assessment of the OA impacts discussed in Sect. 2 on the future climate.

The reference simulation (REF), refers to the standard BGC formulation with no OA impacts. With this ocean BGC formulation, the ESM simulates the years 1850 to 2100 using historical atmospheric CO$_2$ for 1850 to 2005 and then the RCP8.5 emissions until the year 2100. All of the remaining experiments to be discussed were started from the year 2006 and use the same RCP8.5 emissions as the REF simulation. In the REF simulation, the ratio of exported PIC to POC ($Q_{POC}$) was kept constant, which implies the simulation includes a temperature effect on PIC production because the PIC production would increases as a warming ocean increases POC production (Pinsonneault et al., 2012; Schmittner et al., 2008).

The first OA simulation (EP+) considers the impact of rising CO$_2$ on the C/P ratio of the exported POC. The C/P changes are based on (Oschlies et al., 2008), while the C/O of POC and the PIC export remain unchanged. The modified POC export ($Q_{POC}^o$) is given by the following equations, where CO$_2$ is the atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration, $Q_{POC}^o$ is the phosphate uptake in the photic zone and $\Delta z$ is the depth of the photic zone.

$$Q_{POC} = 106 Q_{POC}^o F_o \Delta z$$

(1)

$$F_o = 1 + (CO_2 - 380) \cdot 2.3/700/6.6, \text{ for } CO_2 > 380$$

(2)

At the start of the experiment (2006), the atmospheric CO$_2$ equals 380 ppm and the scaling factor ($F_o$) is equal to 1 ($C/N = 6.6$), which is the value used in the REF experiment. At the end of the experiment (2100), the atmospheric CO$_2$ equals 1010 ppm and the scaling factor ($F_o$) is 1026 ($C/N = 1.32$).

In the second OA experiment (EP++), in addition to the EP+ modification, the export of POC is prescribed to increase as atmospheric CO$_2$ levels rise, reflecting the enhanced production due to enhanced photosynthesis with increased CO$_2$. In addition, the PIC export ($Q_{PIC}^o$) is prescribed to decline as calcification decreases with rising CO$_2$ (Ridgwell et al., 2009). To achieve this we increase the POC export scaling factor ($S_{npp}$ Eq. A6, see Appendix), which increases POC export and reduces the rain ratio between PIC and POC export ($r$) with atmospheric CO$_2$ as follows:

$$S_{npp} = S_{npp}^o (CO_2/380)^{-3.5}$$

(3)

$$Q_{PIC} = r 106 Q_{POC}^o \Delta z$$

(4)

$$r = r^o \cdot 1/(9.5 \cdot CO_2/380 - 8.5)$$

(5)

where $S_{npp}^o$ and $r^o$ are the values used in the REF and the RCP8.5 experiments. At an atmospheric CO$_2$ level of 1140 ppm (the approximate value in our REF simulation at 2100) the POC export scaling factor is 10 times and the rain ratio is 5% of the REF experiment. Note that while the scaling factor was increased by 10 times, the actual increase in export of POC was still constrained by the availability of phosphate and light, which dramatically reduces the increase in POC export in this experiment.

In the third OA simulation (REMIN+), the standard BGC formulation was used but both the remineralisation of POC and the dissolution of PIC are enhanced. The increased POC remineralisation reflects an increasing rate of microbial activity with OA. The increased PIC dissolution reflects the impact of OA on the chemical dissolution of PIC. In the previously discussed experiments, PIC and POC have prescribed depth profiles (see A18 and A19 in Appendix), which are now modified by the atmospheric CO$_2$ as follows to give an upper bound estimate of the potential impact of increased POC remineralisation and increased PIC dissolution on carbon storage in the ocean.

$$REMIN_{POC} = REMIN_{POC}^o [1 + (CO_2 - 380)/500]$$

(6)

$$REMIN_{PIC} = REMIN_{PIC}^o [1 + (CO_2 - 380)/500]$$

(7)

The equations above give the factors used to modify the prescribed depth profiles for POC and PIC from the REF
experiment, where $\text{REMIN}^{\text{POC}} = -0.9$ and $\text{REMIN}^{\text{PIC}} = 3500$ in the REF simulation (see equations A18 and A19). With an atmospheric CO$_2$ level of 1000 ppm, the depth of remineralisation declines by 2.25 from the REF experiment.

For POC, this means the POC that sinks below 200 m declines from 54 % in REF simulation to 25 %. For PIC, the PIC sinking below 1000 m reduces from 75 % in REF simulation to 37 %.

These BGC changes are an idealised representation of the OA impact on the ocean BGC cycle. They were deliberately chosen to provide extreme perturbations to the BGC cycle and to test the potential for these impacts to have a significant consequence on the future projected climate.

4 Results and discussion

We will first present and discuss the REF simulation by assessing its present-day ocean state and its projected response to the RCP8.5 emission scenario. Then, we will project and discuss how OA impacts the ocean BGC cycle, and how this in turn impacts the future atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration and climate.

4.1 Historical period

For the historical period, the simulated global surface warming generally agrees with the observed warming. The observed global surface temperature increase between 1850–1899 and 2001–2005 is $0.76 \pm 0.19$ K (Trenberth et al., 2007) compared to the simulated increase of $0.57 \pm 0.07$ K (Zhang et al., 2014). The observed land surface temperature increase from 1850–1899 to 2001–2005 is $1.0 \pm 0.25$ K (Brohan et al., 2006) compared to a simulated increase of $0.75 \pm 0.06$ K (Zhang et al., 2014).

(Zhang et al., 2014) assessed the simulated anthropogenic CO$_2$ uptake by the land and ocean, and showed that over the historical period the model realistically reflected the observed estimates. From 1850 to 2005, the total carbon accumulated in the land biosphere is 85 Pg C, which is within the estimated land carbon uptake of $135 \pm 85$ Pg C calculated from the estimated rates of ocean carbon uptake and atmospheric growth (Zhang et al., 2014). The simulated carbon accumulated in the ocean (118 Pg C) agrees with the estimated anthropogenic carbon storage in the ocean of $135 \pm 25$ Pg C (Khatiwala et al., 2009). (Zhang et al., 2014) also showed that simulated land and ocean carbon uptakes are consistent with the latest synthesis for the period 1960 to 2005 (Canadell et al., 2007).

To assess the realism of the ocean carbon simulation we compare (1) dissolved oxygen at 500 m; (2) surface aragonite saturation state (3) aragonite lysocline depth; (4) the surface phosphate; (5) global alkalinity; (6) global preindustrial dissolved inorganic carbon; (7) global phosphate; (8) global oxygen; and (9) global apparent oxygen utilisation (AOU) with the observations (Key et al., 2004; Boyer et al., 2009) using a Taylor (2001) diagram (Fig. 1). We use the Taylor diagram to provide a quantitative assessment of the simulated fields, and the statistics shown in the Taylor diagram are summarised in Table 3. The colour bar gives the bias in the simulated fields normalised by the standard deviation in the observed fields. The plotted data are summarised in Table 3.

For dissolved oxygen at 500 m, the REF simulation is highly correlated with the observations ($r = 0.85$) (Fig. 1 and Table 3), but overestimates the average oxygen concentration at 500 m (33 mmol m$^{-3}$). In general, the simulated dissolved oxygen levels at mid-depths are overestimated, but the simulated thickness of suboxic water (defined as oxygen concentrations less than 5 mmol m$^{-3}$) was greater than observed (Fig. 2a and b). The simulated three-dimensional dissolved oxygen concentrations are highly correlated with observations ($r = 0.87$), consistent with what was shown at 500 m, the simulated global averaged dissolved oxygen concentration is 19 mmol m$^{-3}$ greater than the observed. The simulated excess in oxygen is associated with a simulated global averaged AOU concentration, which is 17 mmol m$^{-3}$...
Table 3. Summary statistics of the comparison of the REF simulated fields with the observations shown in Fig. 1. All simulated fields are based on the REF 1995 values except for preindustrial dissolved inorganic carbon which comes from the REF 1850 simulation fields.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Observations versus REF simulation in 1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observed average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate at 0 m (mmol m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen at 500 m (mmol m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>157.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aragonite saturation state at 0 m</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysocline depth (m)</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D Alkalinity (mmol m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>2418.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D preindustrial DIC (mmol m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>2299.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D Phosphate (mmol m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D Oxygen (mmol m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>172.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D AOU (mmol m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>146.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of suboxic water (m)</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

less than observed (Table 3). While the simulated three-dimensional AOU concentrations are highly correlated with the observations \(r = 0.86\), (Duteil et al., 2013) showed that this model has a mid-depth AOU maximum in the Pacific that is too small. This implies that the simulation is either over-ventilating the Pacific intermediate waters, or underestimating the remineralisation of POC in the ocean interior.

For surface phosphate, the REF simulation is highly spatially correlated with the observations \(r = 0.89\) (Fig. 2c and d), but has slightly greater spatial variability and slightly less surface phosphate than observed (Fig. 1 and Table 3). The REF-simulated three-dimensional phosphate concentrations are also highly correlated with the observations \(r = 0.87\) with spatial variability that is slightly greater than observed (Fig. 1 and Table 3). (Duteil et al., 2012) showed that of all the models they considered in their study, this model has the smallest error in preformed phosphate concentrations, with its ratio of preformed to total phosphate in the ocean interior (0.6) being only slightly less than observed (0.64). In the Pacific and Atlantic sections, (Duteil et al., 2012) showed that this model slightly underestimated the regenerated phosphate concentrations, implying that the low global AOU values reflect the underestimation of POC remineralisation in the ocean interior.
For surface aragonite saturation state, the REF simulation was highly correlated with the aragonite saturation state calculated from the observations (Key et al., 2004), with the simulation slightly underestimating the average saturation state (Fig. 3 and Table 3).

In the ocean interior, the REF simulation has a similar pattern of the aragonite lysocline to that observed. In general, the simulated lysocline depth is a little deeper than observed, except in the western Pacific, where the simulated values are several hundred metres too deep (Fig. 3 and Table 3). For the simulated three-dimensional alkalinity and preindustrial dissolved inorganic carbon concentrations, the REF simulation is highly correlated with the observations (0.92 and 0.91, respectively), whereas spatial variability slightly exceeds the observations with a mean dissolved inorganic carbon concentration that is 15 mmol m$^{-3}$ less than observed (Table 3). The simulated global averaged dissolved inorganic carbon concentration that is less than observed is consistent with the underestimation of POC remineralisation in the ocean interior.

To assess the ocean circulation of the REF simulation, we use the analysis of (Matsumoto et al., 2004). They show the CSIRO model in their study, which is nearly identical to the REF simulation presented here, correctly ventilated the ocean on decadal and centennial timescales based on simulated CFC-11, natural radiocarbon and anthropogenic carbon concentrations.

In summary, the REF simulation generally reflects the present-day ocean state (correlation coefficient with observations greater than 0.8). However, the important discrepancies with the observations are that the suboxic layer is too thick, the lysocline in the Western Pacific subtropical and equatorial water is too deep, and the remineralisation of POC in the ocean interior is less than observed. These model biases are considered when assessing the projected regional changes associated with OA.

4.2 Future OA impacts on ocean BGC

4.2.1 Carbon climate feedbacks

The addition of the OA impacts on the ocean BGC alters the atmospheric CO$_2$ by less than 45 ppm by 2100 (Fig. 4). Enhanced POC export increases ocean carbon uptake, and hence atmospheric CO$_2$ drops by 43 ppm at most by 2100. While the enhanced remineralisation of the POC and increased dissolution of PIC reduces carbon uptake, and atmospheric CO$_2$ increases by about 18 ppm by 2100. The combination of enhanced POC export in conjunction with the shoaling of POC remineralisation and PIC dissolution reduces atmospheric CO$_2$ by 38 ppm. The atmospheric CO$_2$ change in the COMB experiment is greater than the
4.2.2 Changes in BGC fields

While the OA impacts on the ocean carbon storage and climate by the end of this century are small, we investigate whether the BGC fields in the ocean are significantly altered by OA. Key ocean BGC fields that have been shown to be impacted by global warming and OA are aragonite saturation state, lysocline depth, export production, dissolved oxygen and volume of suboxic water. Here, we focus on how the OA impacts each of these fields relative to the REF simulation.

4.2.3 Aragonite saturation state

For the surface aragonite saturation state, there are only subtle differences among the simulations (Fig. 5). As expected, all simulations show a dramatic reduction in the surface aragonite saturation state by 2100, with the surface water poleward of approximately 40° S and 40° N being undersaturated with respect to aragonite (Fig. 5). By 2100, the REF simulation has an atmospheric CO₂ value of 1026 ppm. At low latitudes, by 2100 the maximum aragonite saturation state from all simulations is less than 2.75, which is a saturation state level in which historically no living corals are observed (Guinotte et al., 2003). In all simulations, the upwelling region of the eastern Equatorial Pacific shows a minimum in the tropical aragonite saturation state.

For aragonite lysocline depth, all simulations show a dramatic shoaling in the polar regions (Fig. 6). In all
rates, the eastern tropical and subtropical Pacific lysocline is less than 100 m deep. Similarly, all simulations show lysocline depths of less than 100 m in the Indian Ocean. It is only in the equatorial western Pacific that the lysocline depths remain greater than 1000 m by 2100. Note that this is the region where the REF simulation overestimated the lysocline depth by several hundred metres, and in the OA experiments this region retains its resilience to change. This probably reflects a bias in the model, and we anticipate that the region would show much greater shoaling by the end of the century consistent with (Bopp et al., 2013).

Overall, the OA impacts only make subtle changes to the overall dramatic reduction in surface aragonite saturation state and lysocline depth projected with the RCP8.5 emission scenario.

4.2.4 Export production

For the export of POC from the upper ocean, in the REF simulation shows a small global reduction (Fig. 7), which is mostly confined to the equatorial Pacific, Indian and North Atlantic oceans (Fig. 8) associated with increased upper ocean stratification that occurs in climate change projections (e.g. Matear and Hirst, 1999). The export of PIC from the upper ocean is also shown in Fig. 7, with all experiments showing a decline global export in the future except for REMIN+. In the REMIN+ simulation, the increase in POC export is associated with an increase in PIC export because the rain ratio was held constant. Interestingly, the different OA experiments show large regional differences in export production (Fig. 8), with EP++ and COMB substantially increasing export production in the Southern Ocean. However, for the global integrated value, all OA impacts cause an increase in POC export production, with the greatest increase occurring in the COMB projection.

The large range in the export production response to the different OA experiments is consistent with previous studies (e.g. Tagliabue et al., 2011). The simulations reveal that OA impacts on export production are much greater than their impacts on climate change. Such behaviour demonstrates that the consequence of OA will not be through its impact on climate change, but on how it impacts the flow of energy in marine ecosystems. These changes may have significant impacts on marine ecosystems and their productivity, biodiversity, and our future ability to exploit them as a food resource.

4.2.5 Dissolved oxygen

While the OA impacts had only a small effect on atmospheric CO2 levels, the export production varied dramatically amongst the simulations (Fig. 8), which has the potential
to alter dissolved oxygen levels in the ocean. With climate change, the REF simulation shows a decline in the mid-water dissolved oxygen levels in the North Pacific, equatorial Pacific and Southern oceans (Fig. 9).

The oceanic oxygen levels are expected to decline under global warming (e.g. Matear et al., 2000; Bopp et al., 2002), because surface warming lowers the sea surface oxygen concentrations, enhances stratification, reduces ventilation of the thermocline, and reduces thermohaline circulation, which all tend to decrease the supply of oxygen to the ocean interior. The reduced oxygen supply to the ocean interior is also linked to increased residence time of water at depth, thereby enhancing biological oxygen consumption in the ocean interior.

The REF simulation projects a global decline in total dissolved oxygen inventory of 1.8 % between 2006 and 2100 (Fig. 10b) and of 2.5 % between 1850 and 2100. The projected small decline is comparable to other ESM projections, which show a small decrease of 2 % to 4 % by the end of 2100 with climate change (Cocco et al., 2013). The decline in dissolved oxygen in the major ocean basins is also reflected in a change in the thickness of the suboxic water (defined as water with dissolved oxygen of less than 5 mmol m\(^{-3}\)) (Fig. 10a). In the REF simulation, there is a projected 5 % decline in suboxic water by 2100 (Fig. 10a). This is consistent with their multi-model analysis of CMIP5 (Cocco et al., 2013), which showed that the projected total volume of suboxic waters remain relatively unchanged (±5 %) by the end of 2100 with climate change. As discussed by (Cocco et al., 2013), the projected oxygen changes in the upper 1000 m of
the ocean displays a complex regional pattern with both positive and negative trends reflecting the complex interactions between changes in circulation, biological production, biological remineralisation, and temperature.

The inclusion of OA impacts that could either increase POC export from the upper ocean (Fig. 7) and/or reduce its depth of remineralisation may substantially decrease oxygen levels in the ocean interior. For the total oxygen inventory in the ocean, the simulations reveal that increased POC export causes a decline in oxygen, while the shoaling of POC remineralisation has little impact (Fig. 10b).

The EP+ simulation showed a 17% increase in the thickness of the suboxic water by 2100 (Fig. 10a). Similar simulations where the C/N ratio of exported POC matter is increased with OA project reduced dissolved oxygen levels in the ocean and a comparable increase in the volume of suboxic water to the EP+ projection (Oschlies et al., 2008; Tagliabue et al., 2011).

(Oschlies et al., 2008) shows the volume of suboxic water is very sensitive to small changes in the remineralisation of POC in the ocean interior. Our simulations confirm this result, but also show the volume of suboxic water is sensitive to the location where OA increases POC export. With the shoaling of POC remineralisation (REMIN+) there is a reduction in the volume of suboxic water. By confining POC remineralisation to the upper ocean, the equatorial Pacific has less suboxic water, because this water is now more influenced by air–sea gas exchange, there is a global decline in the volume of suboxic water (Fig. 10). Similarly, the EP+ simulation with increased export production shows the greatest decline in total oxygen inventory (Fig. 10b), but a decline in the volume of suboxic water by 2100 (Fig. 10a). The COMB simulation, with its increased POC export and shoaling of POC remineralisation also projects a decline in the volume of suboxic water. However, the COMB simulation projects the development of suboxic zones in the North Pacific and Southern Ocean where the combination of increased export production, shoaling of depth of POC remineralisation, and increased stratification with global warming allows for the development of suboxic water in these regions.

By prescribing the POC depth profile in our model formulation, POC will be remineralised without consuming oxygen, which implies unconstrained denitrification. By having unlimited denitrification, the consumption of oxygen may be underestimated when POC export increases, because POC remineralisation occurs by denitrification rather than by oxygen consumption. Thus, the simulated response of the thickness of suboxic water to increasing POC export, as in the EP+ and COMB simulations, may be underestimated.

Presently, the large uncertainty in the potential changes in POC export and remineralisation with OA makes it difficult to project the potential consequences of OA on dissolved oxygen levels with confidence, and this makes it a critical issue for further investigation. The response of denitrification to potential changes in POC export adds another uncertainty to projecting the future interior oxygen levels changes with OA, warranting further study.

5 Summary and perspectives

Ocean acidification is the inevitable consequence of rising atmospheric CO$_2$ occurring in conjunction with global warming. Published studies have hypothesised the potential of OA to impact biogeochemical cycling in the ocean. However, to date, no studies have combined these impacts to quantify the integrated impacts on ocean biogeochemistry and the feedbacks onto the future climate. Here, we explore the integrated consequences of these OA-induced changes using an ESM and some first-order representations of the potential OA impacts on marine biogeochemical cycles. A key result of this study is that OA does not significantly alter the total carbon stored in the ocean; the potential changes in atmospheric CO$_2$ levels (45 ppm maximum) were small compared to the future concentration projected with the RCP8.5 emission scenario by the end of this century (more than 1000 ppm). The small impact on future atmospheric CO$_2$ levels means the OA impacts will have only a minor feedback on projected global warming; our simulations suggest that by 2100 the global averaged surface temperature would be altered by less than 0.25 K. Therefore, while the simulations do project significant global warming ($\approx 3$ K by 2100), the inclusion of OA impacts on the marine BGC cycle did not significantly alter the projected changes. Consistent with both the small impact on carbon storage in the ocean and on global warming, the inclusion of OA impacts did not significantly alter the projected trajectory of future ocean acidification (e.g. surface aragonite state and lysocline depth). However, we emphasise
that with the RCP8.5 scenario, by 2100 significant changes in OA are projected. All polar surface waters will be undersaturated with respect to aragonite, and the maximum surface aragonite saturation state in the tropics will be less than 2.75, a value below which coral reefs are not historically found. Such changes are likely to have profound impacts on marine ecosystems.

Where OA has the potential to have a significant impact is on the POC and PIC export from the upper ocean. We emphasise the impacts of these changes on marine ecosystems are highly uncertain and needs further study. While deliberately conceived to be large, the changes in PIC and POC export did not significantly change the future depth of the lysocline, however, they did significantly change the regional export production and the interior oxygen levels.

The inclusion of OA impacts that could either increase POC export from the upper ocean or reduce its depth of remineralisation could substantially decrease oxygen levels in the ocean interior. However, the large variability in potential changes in POC export with OA, presently makes it difficult to confidently assess the consequences of OA on dissolved oxygen levels, and therefore this remains another important issue to address. The decline in oxygen with the rising CO$_2$ is likely to have consequences for marine organisms with high metabolic rates. Global warming, lower oxygen and higher CO$_2$ levels represent physiological stresses for marine aerobic organisms that may act synergistically with ocean acidification (Portner and Farrell, 2008). Understanding how OA and global warming impact marine organisms also warrants further investigation.

Our study has focused on the OA impacts on the BGC cycles and climate change only to the end of this century; however, anthropogenic changes in carbon and climate will persist for many centuries. (Schmittner et al., 2008) used an ESM to show that the ocean BGC had a positive feedback on atmospheric CO$_2$ and climate that were important on multi-centennial to millennial timescales. In their simulations, changes in ocean biology ultimately became important to the ocean carbon uptake after the year 2600, and by the year 4000 the feedback by the ocean accounted for 320 ppm (22 %) of the atmospheric CO$_2$ increase since the preindustrial period.

While our study focused on a subset of key BGC processes in the ocean that may modulate the oceanic uptake of CO$_2$, other greenhouse gases and other BGC processes may be altered by OA, to put these in context. The following briefly reviews other potential OA impacts and attempts to quantify and compare them to our results.

The next two most important greenhouse gases produced in the ocean are methane (CH$_4$) and nitrous oxide (N$_2$O), and in the ocean their production is linked to the remineralisation of organic matter in low-oxygen water (Matear et al., 2010; Gruber and Galloway, 2008). The decline in the interior oxygen levels should be associated with increased production of both these gases (Glessmer et al., 2009). (Schittner et al., 2008) used an ESM climate change projection run until the year 4000 to show that a tripling of the volume of suboxic water doubled N$_2$O production in the ocean, increased the atmospheric concentrations by 60 ppb, leading to a warming of about 0.25K, a relatively small change given the length of their simulation.

Enhanced dinitrogen (N$_2$) fixation by cyanobacteria occurs under elevated pCO$_2$ concentrations (Hutchins et al., 2009). This provides an increased source of reactive nitrogen (N) that has the potential to increase primary production in the oligotrophic tropical and subtropical areas. However, this response is limited, as the relieving of N limitation will ultimately lead to phosphate limitation, which will also limit the potential carbon uptake. Ocean-only simulations where sufficient N was added to remove nitrate limitation gave a maximum reduction in atmospheric CO$_2$ of about 22 ppm by 2100 (Matear and Elliott, 2004). Again, it is a small effect when compared to the future atmospheric value associated with the RCP8.5 emissions scenario.

Iron is a biologically important element, and therefore any change in its bioavailability has the potential to change the growth rate of phytoplankton. At present there is little consensus on the sign of this change with OA. A slower iron uptake by diatoms with OA is seen in experiments with Atlantic surface water (Shi et al., 2010), while an increase has been reported in coastal waters (Breitbarth et al., 2010). If we assume OA can increase the bioavailability of iron sufficiently to remove iron limitation on phytoplankton growth, we can use previous ocean model simulations to quantify the maximum potential increase in carbon storage. Such studies showed that this process alone could increase carbon storage in the ocean and reduce atmospheric CO$_2$ by 33 to 80 ppm by 2100 (Aumont and Bopp, 2006; Matear and Wong, 1999). While it is a larger response than what we project with our OA experiments, this is an upper bound that does not mechanistically link OA to the bioavailability of iron. Even this upper bound estimate is small in comparison to the atmospheric CO$_2$ projected with the RCP8.5 emissions scenario by 2100 ($\approx 1000$ ppm), hence it would only have a minor impact on the future climate.

Further, iron is only one of many biologically important trace metals, for which bioavailability will change in response to OA (Hoffmann et al., 2012) and potentially alter biological production. Therefore, more studies are required to understand how changes in the bioavailability of trace metals in response to OA may impact future biological production.

The ocean is also a source of climatically active trace gases to the atmosphere such as dimethyl sulphide (DMS), which can alter cloud properties. DMS is a gaseous sulphur compound produced by marine biota in surface seawater (Gabric et al., 1993). The marine production of DMS provides 90 % of the biogenic sulfur in the marine atmosphere, and in the atmosphere it is rapidly oxidised to produce particles that can affect cloud formation and climate (Arnold et al., 2013). The effects of increasing anthropogenic CO$_2$ and the resulting
warming and OA on trace gas production in the oceans remains poorly understood.

Modelling studies vary substantially in their predictions of the change in DMS emissions with climate change. Elevating CO$_2$ without any other environmental changes suggest a significant decrease in the future concentration of DMS (Hopkins et al., 2011). However, studies in polar waters suggested increases in DMS emission ranging from 30% to more than 150% (Cameron-Smith et al., 2011; Kloster et al., 2007; Gabric et al., 2011) by 2100 with only climate change. While a recent ESM study by (Six et al., 2013) projected a global decrease in DMS production of 18 ± 3% by 2100, with 83% of this change attributed to OA, leading to only a modest equilibrium warming of 0.23 to 0.48 K. (Six et al., 2013) simulated strong regional responses of increasing (polar regions) and decreasing DMS emissions, which reflected the combined effect of increased net primary production and regional shifts in community composition. Therefore, more studies combining the impacts of global warming and OA on marine DMS production are warranted to better determine its regional response and sign, particularly at the marine species and ecosystem levels.

Potential climate–carbon feedbacks of OA and global warming appear small relative to the input of carbon into the atmosphere by human activity under the RCP8.5 scenario. However, understanding and projecting the combined OA and global warming impact on marine ecosystems remains the outstanding issue to tackle. In particular, biological production may change with the projected OA, and the potential consequences for marine organisms and ecosystems are poorly known.
Appendix A: Ocean Biogeochemical Model Equations

The ocean BGC module is based on (Matear and Hirst, 2003), and simulates the evolution of phosphate (P), oxygen (O), carbon (C) and alkalinity (A) in the ocean. The BGC module includes a simple representation of the surface export production of biological matter as a function of the temperature, mixed-layer depth, and nutrient concentration (phosphate) in the euphotic zone, with the sinking particulate organic matter (POM) and particulate inorganic carbon (PIC) remineralising according to prescribed functions of depth. The euphotic zone production of organic matter consumes dissolved phosphorus, nitrogen and carbon and releases oxygen according to the (Redfield, 1934) ratio P : N : C : O of 1 : 16 : 106 : −138, while the subsurface remineralisation conversely releases/consumes these elements in like ratio. While nitrogen is not explicitly modelled, we include its ratio to P and C to make it clear how processes like denitrification affect the modelled P and C tracers. The following briefly summarises how the BGC processes are parameterised and how they affect the BGC tracers. For reference, we give here the conservation equation for dissolved inorganic carbon concentration (C):

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = -\nabla (u(C) + \nabla (K_v v(C)) \frac{\partial C}{\partial z}) + Q_C^F - Q_C^O - Q_C^I$$

(A1)

The first term on the right represents three-dimensional advection, the second term represents diffusion along the neutral density surfaces, and the third term represents vertical diffusion. $Q_C^F$ denotes the biological production and consumption of particulate organic carbon. $Q_C^O$ denotes the production and dissolution of particulate inorganic carbon. The carbon dioxide flux into the surface ocean across the air–sea interface ($Q_C^F$) is given by

$$Q_C^F = K (pCO_2^{atmosphere} - pCO_2^{ocean})$$

(A2)

where $K$ is the gas exchange coefficient, which is a function of wind speed and temperature (Wanninkhof, 1992), $pCO_2^{atmosphere}$ is the atmospheric partial pressure of carbon dioxide (as simulated by the model), and $pCO_2^{ocean}$ is the partial pressure carbon dioxide in the surface ocean water. $pCO_2^{ocean}$ is computed from the surface temperature, salinity, dissolved inorganic carbon concentration and alkalinity using the OCMIP2 carbon chemistry routine (Najjar et al., 2007). A similar equation is used for air–sea oxygen exchange, where the atmospheric partial pressure of oxygen ($pO_2^{atmosphere}$) is fixed at 0.2048 atm (Weiss, 1970), and $pO_2^{ocean}$ is the effective partial pressure oxygen in the surface ocean water computed from the simulated surface oxygen concentration divided by the oxygen concentration in equilibrium with the atmosphere multiply by $pO_2^{atmosphere}$. For alkalinity and phosphate there is no air–sea flux term.

In the photic zone, which is set to be the surface layer of the model (upper 50 m), the biological production of particulate organic matter and particulate inorganic carbon occurs. For particulate organic matter, the production of particulate organic carbon ($Q_{POP}$) was defined by the following equations:

$$V_{max} = 0.61(1.066)^{T}$$

(A3)

$$F(I) = [1 - e^{G(I)}]$$

(A4)

$$G(I) = I(x, t) \alpha PAR \frac{V_{max}}{V_{max}}$$

(A5)

$$Q_{POP} = S_{npp} V_{max} \min \left[ \frac{P}{P + P_k}, F(I) \right]$$

(A6)

$$S_{npp} = Q_{npp} \Delta z$$

(A7)

$V_{max}$ is the maximum growth rate in day$^{-1}$, which is a function of the surface layer temperature ($T$, °C). $F(I)$ is the productivity versus irradiance equation used to describe phytoplankton growth, which is given as a unitless value and provides a measure of light-limited growth. $G(I)$ a unitless function of the light availability for growth, which is calculated from the daily averaged incident shortwave radiation ($I$) in W m$^{-2}$, the fraction of shortwave radiation that is photosynthetically active PAR (unitless factor), and the initial slope ($\alpha$) of the productivity versus radiance curve for phytoplankton growth (day$^{-1}$/W m$^{-2}$). $Q_{POP}$ gives the up-
Particulate inorganic carbon production in the model was linked to particulate organic carbon (POC) production by using a fixed rain ratio ($r^p$) of 9% (Yamanaka and Tajika, 1996) as follows:

$$E_{POC} = 10^6 Q_O^P \Delta z = Q_O^C \Delta z$$  \hspace{1cm} (A11)

$$E_{PIC} = r^o \times 10^6 Q_O^P \Delta z = r^o \times Q_O^C \Delta z$$  \hspace{1cm} (A12)

to give the following uptake of inorganic carbon and alkalinity in the photic zone:

$$Q_O^C = r^o \times 10^6 Q_O^P$$  \hspace{1cm} (A13)

$$Q_O^A = r^o \times 2 \times 10^6 Q_O^P, \hspace{0.5cm} r^o = 0.09$$  \hspace{1cm} (A14)

The POP, POC and PIC produced in the photic zone were vertically exported from the photic zone and instantaneously remineralised in the ocean interior according to the following equations.

$$Q_O^P = E_{POC}/10^6 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [R(z)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (A15)

$$Q_O^C = E_{POC} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [R(z)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (A16)

$$Q_O^A = E_{PIC} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [D(z)],$$  \hspace{1cm} (A17)

where

$$R(z) = \frac{z}{100m} \text{REMIN}_{POC}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A18)

$$D(z) = \exp \left( z/\text{REMIN}_{PIC} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A19)

are normalised vertical depth profiles of POC and PIC, respectively, $z$ is depth in metres, REMIN$_{POC} = -0.9$ sets the remineralisation length scale of POC and REMIN$_{PIC} = 3500$ m sets the depth scale for PIC dissolution (Yamanaka and Tajika, 1996).

There is no remineralisation above 100 m and all the POM and PIC reaching the ocean bottom are remineralised in the bottom layer of the model. The relationship between POC remineralisation and phosphate, oxygen and alkalinity is given by Eqs. (A8–A10).

Further, the remineralisation of POC also occurs in anoxic regions through denitrification. This occurs by remineralising the POM back into its inorganic constituents without consuming any oxygen. The remineralisation of POM in the denitrification regions increases alkalinity in the same manner as the aerobic respiration (Eq. A10), which is not correct (Paulmier et al., 2009) but the error is actually small and it was done to ensure alkalinity was conserved in the ocean.

The oceanic biogeochemical model was initially spun up using fields from the final stages of the physical ocean component spin-up conducted prior to initiation of the control Earth system model integration. The biogeochemical model is then integrated as described above over the duration of the climate model integrations. For comparison, we show

Figure A2. Comparison of the global averaged profiles of the REF simulation in the year 1850 with the observations for (a) preindustrial dissolved inorganic carbon; (b) dissolved oxygen and apparent oxygen utilisation; (c) phosphate and (d) alkalinity in units of mmol m$^{-3}$. The observed fields come from (Key et al., 2004) and (Boyer et al., 2009).
the zonally averaged sections of dissolved inorganic carbon and alkalinity from the preindustrial period (year 1850) compared to the observed fields (Fig. A1). The model generally captures the observed variability with the spatial correlation of three-dimensional alkalinity and dissolved inorganic carbon with observations (Key et al., 2004) being 0.92 and 0.91, respectively. Also shown are comparisons of the global averaged profiles of alkalinity, preindustrial dissolved inorganic carbon, phosphate, dissolved oxygen and apparent oxygen utilisation with the observations (Key et al., 2004; Boyer et al., 2009) (Fig. A2). In the intermediate water (500–1500 m), the model underestimates phosphate, dissolved inorganic carbon and apparent oxygen utilisation concentrations and this implies the model is underestimating the remineralisation of POM in the intermediate water.
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